SHOREHAVEN
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, INC.

3900 West Brown Deer Road, Suite 200
Brown Deer, WI 53209
Phone: 414.540.2170 • Fax: 414.540.2171
www.shorehavenbhi.com

Stonewalling, Ghosting, & Ostracism©

The Deep Pain of Being Ignored

Don Rosenberg
March, 2026

Few interpersonal experiences are as painful and destabilizing as being deliberately ignored by someone who matters to you. This can last for a day, a week, or indefinitely. Today people often use the term **ghosting** when someone suddenly stops responding to calls, texts, emails, or social media messages.

In couples research, **John Gottman** used the term **stonewalling** to describe a pattern in which one person withdraws or refuses meaningful engagement. Whether briefly or indefinitely, where it happens in a marriage, a friendship, a parent-child relationship, or after a breakup, the emotional impact can be profound.

What makes stonewalling so painful is that it creates not just distance but **unanswered emotional uncertainty**. Conflict, even painful conflict, at least gives a person something to respond to. Stonewalling offers no such clarity. It leaves the other person trying to interpret silence, guessing at what happened, and often blaming themselves. The mind keeps circling the same questions: *What did I do? Why won't they answer me? Is this punishment? Am I no longer important? Am I insignificant? Invisible?* The silence becomes its own message.

When Silence Becomes a Message

Consider Maureen, whose daughter Talia dropped out of college, moved two states away, and refused every effort at contact—texts, calls, emails, letters, and social media. When Maureen eventually learned where her daughter worked and traveled there to see her, Talia refused even to acknowledge her presence. That kind of silence does not feel neutral. It feels like hostile erasure.

Or consider Alexx, who learned through a third party that her close friend and roommate, Kay, was planning to move away. When asked directly, Kay denied it. Then one day she was simply gone, and refused all further communication. Alexx had experienced the friendship as stable, cooperative, and close. What made the loss so painful was not only the rupture itself, but the complete absence of explanation. She was left alone with confusion, a sense of rejection, and nagging questions about whether she had done something wrong or whether something was defective in her.

A third example comes from marriage. William David would sometimes stop talking to his wife Judith for days or even weeks. He might leave in the middle of an argument, stay elsewhere, and return without any effort to repair what had broken. At home, he might speak only in practical fragments: "Pass the salt," "What time will you be home?" "We're out of milk." The silence did not merely interrupt communication—it created a climate in which Judith was forced to live with tension, uncertainty, and emotional isolation, perpetually on edge, never knowing what she might do next to trigger his withdrawal and implicit hostility.

In each of these cases, silence spoke loudly. It communicated something, even though no words were used.

What Stonewalling Often Communicates

Stonewalling can communicate many things very ambiguously:

You are not worth explaining myself to.

I do not want to face this.

I want you to feel my withdrawal.

I want control.

I want distance.

I want to avoid discomfort.

I want to punish you.

Sometimes the motive is avoidance; sometimes it is punishment; often it is both.

The person doing the stonewalling may tell themselves they are simply "done," "protecting their peace," or "refusing drama." There are certainly relationships that are dangerous, abusive, or so chaotic that distance becomes necessary. But purposeful stonewalling in ordinary conflict is something different. It is not a healthy boundary when it is used to induce confusion, helplessness, guilt, contrition, helplessness, regret, or emotional desperation in the other person.

For the person being ignored or cut off, the impact is often intense because there is no path toward repair. A hard conversation may hurt, but it also gives people information. Stonewalling withholds information. It freezes the relationship in an unresolved state.

Why It Hurts So Much

Human beings are deeply wired for connection and attachment. We are not built to thrive in emotional isolation. Attachment, belonging, recognition, and responsiveness are basic psychological needs. We expect our partner to be the deepest source of those connections. That is why forms of ostracism—being ignored, excluded, or treated as if one does not matter—hurt so deeply.

Being cut off in this way often injures several parts of the self at once. It may wound self-esteem: *Maybe I did not matter as much as I thought*. It may wound one's sense of belonging: *Maybe I was never truly included*. It may wound one's sense of control: *I cannot fix this, because I do not even know what is wrong*. It may even wound one's sense of meaningful existence: *How can someone act as though I am not even here?*

The person who has been stonewalled often replays the relationship and the recent event over and over, searching for clues. This is one reason the pain can last for months or years. Without explanation, the mind cannot easily close the loop. The silence keeps the wound open.

Repeated Exclusion Can Change a Person

When someone is excluded repeatedly, they may become more sensitive to signs of rejection. They may begin to scan for small signals of being left out: a delayed response, a shift in tone, a canceled plan, a text that feels flat. It's a kind of hypervigilance. What others perceive as minor may feel major to the person whose nervous system has learned that connection can disappear without warning.

Over time, chronic exclusion can contribute to sadness, shame, anger, anxiety, and mistrust. Some people become clingy and desperate for reassurance. Others become guarded and

emotionally self-protective. Some vacillate between the two. The common thread is that repeated nonresponse can fundamentally shape a person's expectations about relationships.

The Person Stonewalling Is Also Hurting

Then there is the effect on the one doing the stonewalling. The person who does the stonewalling is often much more emotionally involved than he or she appears. From the outside, stonewalling can look cold, detached, or controlling. But internally, the person may be anything but calm. Often there is unresolved anger, hurt, humiliation, disappointment, fear of being overpowered, or a sense of not having been heard. In some cases the silence is punitive: "Now you will feel what I have felt." In other cases it is defensive: "If I talk, I will be blamed, overwhelmed, exposed, or drawn into something I cannot manage." The silence may therefore serve several psychological functions at once—it creates distance, protects against vulnerability, preserves pride, and sometimes expresses hostility indirectly when the person cannot or will not do so directly.

For many people, stonewalling begins where emotional regulation fails. They may feel flooded, ashamed, confused, resentful, or helpless. Some do not have the language to say, "I feel unseen," "I am furious," "I feel pressured," or "I don't know how to repair this." Instead, they withdraw and let silence do the speaking. Others use silence more actively as a form of retaliation or control. They may want the other person to suffer uncertainty, guilt, or longing. Yet even in these cases, there is often pain underneath the posture. The person may be carrying a private grievance: "You should have understood me without my having to say it," or "It is too late now; you did not care when it mattered." Stonewalling can therefore be fueled by smoldering anger, but also by injured pride, grief, disappointment, and fear of further hurt.

Silence often has costs. The person may become increasingly rigid, self-justifying, and trapped by the very distance they created. They may ruminate, replay the offense, and harden their version of the story. Anger can become chronic rather than resolved. Some begin to feel lonely, guilty, or haunted, but do not know how to re-enter the relationship without losing face. Others become emotionally blunted; in shutting out the other person, they also cut themselves off from tenderness, grief, and the possibility of repair. What looked like strength becomes a kind of imprisonment.

When a Parent Stonewalls a Child

The damage is especially deep when the person being ignored is a child or adolescent and the one doing the stonewalling is a parent.

Imagine a child waiting for a parent to show up for a visit, only to have that parent never arrive and offer no explanation. The child may not say much out loud, but inside there is often a storm of meaning-making: *Did I do something wrong? Am I not important enough? Did he forget me? Is she angry at me? Am I unlovable?* Children commonly personalize what happens to them. They do not yet have the maturity to say, "My parent is acting from his own limitations and unresolved conflicts. It hurts." Instead, they tend to absorb the injury into their developing sense of self. The child may be more withdrawn or more angry.

This is one reason parental stonewalling can have long-lasting consequences. It may shape attachment patterns, self-worth, trust, and emotional regulation. A child who repeatedly feels ignored, forgotten, or emotionally erased may grow up unusually sensitive to rejection and uncertain of their value in relationships. What is painful in adulthood can be developmentally formative in childhood. It also affects the internalized image of what it means to be a parent.

Stonewalling Is Not the Same as a Healthy Time-Out

It is important to distinguish destructive stonewalling from a constructive cooling-off period. In heated conflict, it can be wise to say, "I'm too upset to talk well right now. I need an hour, and then I want to come back and finish this conversation." That is not stonewalling. That is self-regulation in the service of repair.

A healthy time-out has three features: *it is explained, it is time-limited, and it includes the intention to return*. Stonewalling has none of these. It is silence without reassurance, withdrawal without structure, and distance without repair.

How to Intervene in Stonewalling

When stonewalling is occurring in a relationship, the first task is to understand what function it serves. For some people, silence is a weapon. For others, it is an escape hatch. They may become emotionally flooded, ashamed, defensive, or afraid of saying the wrong thing. Instead of learning to stay present and speak honestly, they disappear emotionally.

A therapist might say to the person who stonewalls something like this:

> "When you go silent without explanation, it may feel to you like self-protection or a way to avoid making things worse. But you see it's hard for you or your partner to build a bridge and make repairs. To the other person, it often feels like abandonment, punishment, or contempt. It does not calm the relationship; it makes the other person more distressed and less secure. If you need space, say so directly, give a time frame, and come back. Silence without return usually deepens the injury instead of solving the problem."

To overcome the distance, the person who stonewalls usually has to see several things clearly. First, they have to recognize that silence is not neutral. It is an act, and it communicates. Even if it feels like self-protection, it is often experienced by the other person as abandonment, contempt, punishment, or erasure. Second, they have to see that

the silence has not actually solved the underlying problem. It has only frozen it. Third, they need to identify the softer feeling underneath the cutoff—hurt, shame, fear, disappointment, helplessness, grief. People rarely repair relationships from the top layer of anger alone. They repair them when they can say something more vulnerable and more truthful: "I was hurt," "I felt dismissed," "I did not know how to say this," "Part of me wanted you to hurt the way I was hurting."

What helps such a person change is not merely being told, "You need to talk." More often, they need help seeing that direct speech is less dangerous than they imagine, and that repair does not require surrender or humiliation. They need to learn that taking space is different from disappearing, and that one can say, "I need time," without turning silence into a weapon. In therapy, **the shift often occurs when the person can connect their withdrawal to its interpersonal effect and also to its hidden emotional source**. Once they can admit, "I was not just mad—I was deeply hurt," the possibility of reconnection becomes much greater. The distance begins to soften when the person can trade silent righteousness for honest self-revelation.

That is often the heart of the intervention: helping the person replace indefinite withdrawal with **clear, bounded, responsible disengagement**. Instead of disappearing, they learn to say, "I need twenty minutes," "I'm too angry to think clearly," or "I want to continue this conversation, but I need to settle down first." The goal is not forced instant communication, but self-regulation. The goal is also accountable communication.

For couples or families, therapists often work on helping each person identify what happens internally just before the silence begins. Is it overwhelm? Fear of conflict? Shame? A wish to punish? A sense of helplessness? Once that internal sequence is identified, the person has more opportunity to interrupt it before the shutdown becomes entrenched.

Helping the Person Who Has Been Ghosted or Stonewalled

The person on the receiving end also needs help, because stonewalling often leaves behind self-doubt and emotional destabilization.

A therapist might say to that person:

> "Being ghosted or stonewalled often has a bigger impact than people realize. It can make you question your worth, replay every detail, and become hypersensitive to rejection. The pain is real not because you are weak, but because human beings are wired to need acknowledgment and connection. Another person's refusal to communicate may say more about their limitations, avoidance, early life experience with relationships, or emotional maturity than about your value."

This kind of explanation can be deeply relieving. People who have been ignored often need help understanding that their distress is not excessive or irrational. They may also need help separating two questions that easily get fused together: *Why did this person do this?* and *What does this say about me?* The first may remain partly unanswered. The second must not be allowed to settle into *I must not matter*.

For some, healing involves grief work, boundary work, and rebuilding self-trust. For others, it includes learning to tolerate the absence of full closure. That can be one of the hardest tasks of all: accepting that some people do not provide the explanation or repair that basic decency would require.

A Final Thought

Stonewalling and ghosting are not simply failures of etiquette. They can be psychologically injurious forms of relational exclusion. They leave one person with power and distance, and the other with confusion and pain. In close relationships, the injury can linger long after the silence ends. In childhood—especially when inflicted by a parent—it can shape the very way a person comes to understand love, worth, and belonging.

For the general public, it is important to recognize that being ignored in this way is not a trivial experience. For therapists, it is important to recognize how often stonewalling masks fear, shame, conflict avoidance, or a wish to punish—and how deeply it can affect the person on the receiving end.

If there is one principle that matters most, it may be this: **needing space is human; erasing another person is harmful**. Healthy relationships allow for pause, but they do not make silence into a weapon.

References

Austin, D. (2021, March 26). The psychology of the silent treatment. The Atlantic.

Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. Psychological Bulletin, 117(3), 497–529.

Erikson, E. H. (1968). Identity: Youth and crisis. W. W. Norton.

Gerber, J. P., & Wheeler, L. (2017). Construct validity of Williams' ostracism needs threat scale. Personality and Individual Differences, 115, 50–53.

Gottman, J. M. (1999). The marriage clinic: A scientifically based marital therapy. W. W. Norton.

Lieberman, M. D. (2013). Social: Why our brains are wired to connect. Crown.

Potok, C. (1967). The chosen. Simon & Schuster.

Williams, K. D. (2009). Ostracism: A temporal need-threat model. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 41, 275–314.